

## October Parking Sub Committee Meeting Minutes

Meeting began at 11:30

- Nancy, Bob, Teri D., Kathy, Gina, Dwight, Greg,, Jim S, Julia Mark
- Nancy gave overview of home rule and how it seems to be affecting the adoption of this Rule as well as the MTC and how the cities adopt them.
- Nancy Report:
  - Colorado Springs
  - Own ordinance - parking program for persons with disabilities - they also have parking permits for elderly - but Nancy could not figure out where you can actually park. Colorado Springs doesn't have a clue as to what version of the MTC they have at this time.
  - Lakewood - has their own program and ordinance but the judges assign the fine up to 999.99 and they did not adopt the MTC related to disability parking. Must send notices to DMV.
  - Thornton - adopted MTC 2010 do not have rules on infraction so they did not adopt the fines, etc.,
  - Littleton - MTC 2010 - they deleted all the sections 1701 and 1702 that refer to the penalty. They only go up to 999.99
- Dwight Report:
  - Parker - MTC 2010 edition - except for amendments and lots of other items too
  - 1208 was completely amended - minimum fine for parking is not less than 50.00 but not more than 400.00 - another place lists a minimum of 15.00.
  - Centennial - 2003 addition of MTC - amendments list violations as 100.00 and person illegally parking commercial is 200.00 fine
  - Lone Tree - 2010 addition of MTC - Infraction is traffic infraction of 100.00
- Bob: Report:
  - Douglas County - 2010 MTC - but some of the municipalities tweaked it - Parker
  - Castle Rock - Larkspur - went along with Douglas County and Parker.
  - Arapahoe County - 2003 MTC - 100.00 fine - included Centennial
  - Pueblo - 1995 MTC - fines minimal fines - case by case changes at the request of the administration.
- Mark
  - Lakeside - who knows
  - Sheridan - 2010 - adopted 1208
  - Edgewater - 2010 - adopted 1208
- Bob will check LaPlata - Durango
- Still need:
  - Blackhawk
  - Vail
  - Aspen
- Greg:
  - Jim Sack came into the office and met with Michael Newsome. He found out that 4 countries that have adopted:
    - Route County 2003

- Summit - 2010
  - Eagle - 2009
  - Pitkin - 2010
  - Mark was not able to work on the MTC due to the move. He will get this done. Greg has the agreement to change the language to first person but is waiting for Mark to finish 1208 update to get it to the powers that be at CDOT to get that updated too. All will be updated at the same time.
- Counties can modify the rules as they see fit. Did not contact the cities directly.
  - We can look up on the website. CDOT will be approving all things in writing no regulations shall become effective until approved by CDOT - Charles Meyer at CDOT - what is he database - he has something but Greg cannot get access to it. He is working to get information from it to tell what needs to be done. All regulations after 1979 - somewhere this was approved.
  - State highways - on system - off system may still be there.
  - Who does the fiscal notes at CDOT - they should have known about these changes too.
  - Appendix to code has sample of the ways a city can adopt - Section 3 tells you how to add or change things to the MTC. This given then the ability to make it fit for the local areas - but it should be noted that there are several with Statewide concern attached to them - can this be added to the guidance - and that you must accept them as written?
  - There are also directions on how to delete items too.
  - San at CDOT - we have scans of who has adopted but no database. Greg can take a look at the database - he now has a link to it. Gina will give him a table with what we have and he can look at others and look to see if information is missing from what we have. This will save some time.
  - Get the information to the Governor that cities will be sued by some of the community members - is there anything that they can do? There are at least two organizations who are looking at certain cities to sue - Denver is looking at this as a traffic issue and nothing is of statewide concern - however groups are looking at this as a civil rights issue. Business and Governments must comply with the ADA - parking fits under there rather than Traffic - home rule applies here. ADA home rule does not apply. Some administrations have threatened to go after economic dollars in the past - but no one has actually gone after dollars from cities. The Council authority is to report to the Gov and the Legislature on this topic. Suggest to JBC to tie this to transportation dollars if needed.
  - Mark - Meeting with Boulder - Dale Garr? Mark has not yet sent an email.
  - Julia to follow up on Mayor's Commission for Persons with Disabilities - Julia has not been able to reach out to them yet - they asked to put one of their Council members on the Council - it would be more appropriate to put them on the parking committee.
  - Nancy - Article for CML? still waiting on call from CML attorney - he has not called back as of today. Mark approached by Jean LaBota - running for Denver City Council - Mark filled her in on Denver - they told us that they are not going to implement - if she is elected she will make this one of her front point issues.
  - Mark also stated that she needs to overhaul Commission for Persons with Disabilities.

Meeting ended at 12.47